

MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
25 July, 1978

AM

10:00 CONVENE, 708 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C.

ADMINISTRATION

- A. Dates of next meetings: 22 August, 1978
26 September, 1978
- B. Minutes of June 1978 meeting.
- C. H.R. 13117, a Bill "to acquire certain lands so as to assure the preservation and protection of the Potomac River shoreline."
- D. D.C. Bill 2-367, "The Historic Landmark and Historic Preservation Act of 1978" - Report.
- E. Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial, Senate Rules Committee Hearings - Report.
- F. Request for Variance in Building Height at 1401 North Oak Street, Arlington Virginia - Report of Testimony before Arlington County Planning Commission.

SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS

- A. Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation
 - 1. Western Sector, Pennsylvania Avenue between 13th & 15th Streets, N.W.
 - 2. Pennslyvania Avenue Jurisdictional Drawings.
- B. Smithsonian Institution, National Zoological Park
North American Mammals Display.
- C. Department of the Army, Office of the Adjutant General
Memorial Plaque, Arlington National Cemetery, Trophy Hall, Memorial Amphitheater.
- D. D.C. Department of Housing and Community Development
Transportation Plaza, Maryland Avenue between 6th & 7th Streets, S.W.

25 July, 1978

Historic Subdivisions

Appendix 1.

E. D.C. Department of Transportation

Pavement Markings in the Monumental Core.

F. D.C. Department of Licenses, Investigations and Inspections

Old Georgetown Act

1. OG 78-122, 3252 S Street, N.W., Addition to Existing House.

2. OG 78-127, 1218 31st Street, N.W., Renovation and Office Addition.

3. OG 78-129, 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., New Apartment, Office and Garage Building - Resubmission.

4. Appendix 2.

Shipstead-Luce Act

1. SL 78-51, 1508 Missouri Avenue, N.W., New Single-Family Dwelling.

2. SL 78-60, 1957 E Street, N.W., Fourth Floor Office Addition to Existing Building.

3. SL 78-61, 1615 H Street, N.W., Addition above Existing Open Courtyard.

4. SL 78-62, 2527 Waterside Drive, N.W., Attic Renovation and Dormer Addition.

5. SL 78-64, -65, -66; 6040A-B-C Oregon Avenue, N.W., Three New Single-Family Houses.

6. 1776 G Street, N.W., Material Sample (refer to SL 78-6).

7. Appendix 3.

25 July, 1978

<u>NO.</u>	<u>ADDRESS AND OWNER</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>
OG 78-113	3285 M Street, N.W. Robert N. Wolpe	Construct underground parking, retail floor, and 27 row house units (resubmission refer to OG 78-97).
ACTION:	Do not issue permit.	Preliminary design approved for further development. Submit additional detailed drawings for building, landscape, signs, and material samples as ready. 7/5/78
115	2811 M Street, N.W. Enriqueta's, Inc.	Installation of new sign 7'11" x 1'9" per sketch.
ACTION:	Issue permit. 7/20/78	
116	1024 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Georgetown Development Company, Inc.	Build residential building (resubmission refer to OG 78-91).
ACTION:	Do not issue permit.	Preliminary design approved subject to further development and submission of additional details, working drawings, material samples, landscape plans, as developed. 7/5/78
117	1044 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Monique Pierredon	Addition and alteration (resubmission refer to OG 78-100).
ACTION:	Do not issue permit.	Preliminary designs approved subject to submission of additional details, working drawings, material samples, landscape plans, proposed signs, as developed. 7/5/78
118	1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Premier Charter Corporation	Construct new office/apartment and garage building.
ACTION:	Do not issue permit.	Recommend against proposed designs based on bulk and scale intrusions to the historic district. Recommend that no new construction adjacent to 31st Street be permitted above 30 feet to blend with adjacent low scale buildings. Recommend that no new construction along Thomas Jefferson Street be permitted above approximately 60 feet. Proposed project is several stories too high throughout to be compatible with adjacent development, character of historic district, and topographical features of this particular location. 7/5/78
119	3307 M Street, N.W. Pier One Imports	Install one single-faced 20" x 23'6" individually backlit letters sign (resubmission refer to OG 78-104).
ACTION:	Issue permit for 25 square foot sign. 7/7/78	

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON THE OLD GEORGETOWN ACT

25 July, 1978

<u>NO.</u>	<u>ADDRESS AND OWNER</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>
OG 78-123	1511 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Per Hellekjaer	16" x 96" wooden sign, white letters on brown; change paned glass to sheet glass in existing frame.
ACTION:	Do not issue permit. Changing window design is not recommended. No objection to sign, provided shadow-box frame as shown on sketch is eliminated and full width of sign is same as the masonry opening width of the show window. 7/7/78	
125	1512 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Fotomat Corporation	Sign (resubmission refer to OG 78-111).
ACTION:	Issue permit for wooden hanging sign. Board prefers the graphic logo represented on the first design to the mock perspective of free-standing drive-up facility. 7/20/78	
126	3251 Prospect Street, N.W. Phenix-Georgetown	Install temporary sign.
ACTION:	Issue permit for temporary sign. 7/20/78	

25 July, 1978

PROJECTADDRESS AND OWNER

SL 78-

47

1922 F Street, N.W.

National Association of Life Under-
writers

Erect basement and one surface level parking garage and three-story masonry addition similar in size, material, and color to existing building.

ACTION: Issue permit. Recommend that brick, sash color, and trim match existing building as closely as possible. 7/5/78

50

718 15th Street, N.W.

American Security Bank

Alteration to Pennsylvania Avenue facade to provide entrance (resubmission refer to SL 78-46).

ACTION:

Issue permit. 7/5/78

52

1718 Crestwood Drive, N.W.

Anthony Roisman

Remove roof portions in rear of house and build new third floor addition.

ACTION: Do not issue permit. Character of proposed roof addition unsuited to the house itself and the neighboring houses. Recommend restudy in terms of a more harmonious and simple roof silhouette more in keeping with the vocabulary now present in the neighborhood. 7/5/78

53

2501 M Street, N.W.

2501 M Street Associates

Construct new office and apartment building (resubmission refer to SL 77-122).

ACTION: Do not issue permit. Request submission of material samples and working drawings as developed prior to issuance of permit. Caution against having the exterior masonry too light in color as related to adjacent brownish brick projects which have had their colors selected to diminish the contrast and chroma as viewed from Rock Creek Park. 7/5/78

54

2700 Military Road, N.W.

John Ward

Enclose existing side porch.

ACTION: Do not issue permit. Character of proposed openings is unsuitable. No objection to enclosing porch provided door and window openings are carefully aligned and located to harmonize with the existing facades. Request revised drawings prior to issuance of permit. 7/5/78

55

1001 D Street, N.W.

Irving Fogel

Two signs: one each on front and side of building, 10' x 2' each. Replace awning.

ACTION: Do not issue permit. Side wall sign not recommended; no objection to proposed front location or graphic design. Recommend restudy with a color scheme more appropriate to current upgrading efforts on Pennsylvania Avenue. 7/7/78

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON THE SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT

25 July, 1978

PROJECT

ADDRESS AND OWNER

NO.

SL 78-

56

606-10 21st Street, N.W.

George Washington University

Erect temporary construction sign.

ACTION: Issue permit for temporary sign. 7/7/78

57

600 Water Street, S.W.

Washington Channel Limited Partnership

Construct new two-story office/shop building on existing Pier #3 (resubmission of Gangplank Restaurant project).

ACTION: Issue permit. 7/12/78

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON HISTORIC SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS

25 July, 1978

DESCRIPTION

SQUARE, LOT, ADDRESS AND OWNER

NO.

HS-

92

Square 733, Lots 46 and 816
310 First Street, S.E.

Republican National Committee

ACTION: No objection. 6/28/78

Combination of Record Lot 46 and Assessment and Taxation Lot 816 into one Record Lot.

94

Square 1282, Lot 826
1699 31st Street, N.W.

Donald Edward Mitchell

ACTION: No objection to proposed subdivision provided designs to add to the existing house are reviewed and approved by the Commission of Fine Arts. 7/5/78

Conversion of Assessment and Taxation Lot 826 into one Record Lot.

95

Square 1211, Lot 809
1240 29th Street, N.W.

John L. Willey

ACTION: No objection. 6/27/78

Conversion of Assessment and Taxation Lot 809 into one Record Lot.

PROJECT REPRESENTATIVES
25 July, 1978

Pennsylvania Avenue

Leland Allen
W. Anderson Barnes

1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.

Vlastimil Koubek
Michael Keller

National Zoo

Theodore Reed
Ron Taylor
Lester Collins

1508 Missouri Avenue, N.W.

Joseph Dorsey
Arthur Redman

Arlington Cemetery Plaque

Ray Costanza
Col. Saxon
Julius Smith
Lewis King
Ellsworth Clarke

1957 E Street, N.W.

John S. Samperton
Carl W. Ernst

6040A-B-C Oregon Avenue, N.W.

Lelia Imas
Juan Gruner

Transportation Plaza

Stanley Sherman
Joyce Cappon
Larry Press
Betty Harris
Gary Engle

3252 S Street, N.W.

Calvin Bowie
David Bonderman

1218 31st Street, N.W.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
25 July, 1978

The meeting convened at 10:00 a.m. in the Commission of Fine Arts offices at 708 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Members Present: Hon. J. Carter Brown, Chairman
Hon. Victorine du Pont Homsey
Hon. Eli S. Jacobs
Hon. Kevin Roche
Hon. Edward D. Stone, Jr.

Staff Present:

Mr. Charles H. Atherton, Secretary
Mr. Donald B. Myer, Assistant Secretary
Mr. Jeffrey R. Carson
Mr. J. L. Sibley Jennings, Jr.
Mrs. Sue A. Kohler
Ms. Nancy H. Noyes
Ms. Barbara L. Tatge

National Capital Planning
Commission Staff Present: Mr. David Richards

House District Committee
Staff Present: Mr. Nelson Rimensnyder

I. ADMINISTRATION

A. Dates of next meetings approved as: 22 August, 1978
26 September, 1978.

B. Minutes of the June 1978 meeting, approved.

C. H.R. 13117, a Bill "to acquire certain lands so as to assure the preservation and protection of the Potomac River shoreline." The Secretary reported that the Commission had been asked to comment on this bill, a companion to that introduced by Senator Mathias on the Georgetown waterfront. Support was expressed for the legislation, and the Chairman said he would write a letter stating this.

D. D.C. Bill 2-367, "The Historic Landmark and Historic District Protection Act of 1978" - Report. The Secretary reported that this bill, while strengthening certain aspects of historic preservation, also raises questions and should be given further study. He was concerned particularly with the provision that the Landmarks Committee would review new construction in historic districts, thus offering the potential of duplicate design review in the Georgetown, Shipstead, and Pennsylvania Avenue areas, districts already covered by the Com-

mission of Fine Arts legislation. He told the members that the Chairman would make a statement outlining the Commission's reservations at a hearing to be held on 27 July. EXHIBIT A

E. Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial, Senate Rules Committee Hearings - Report. The Secretary stated that the Commission would be represented at the hearing on 26 July and a letter, setting forth the status of the Commission's approval, would be sent to Senator Pell. EXHIBIT B

F. Request for Variance in Building Height at 1401 North Oak Street, Arlington, Virginia - Report of Testimony before Arlington County Planning Commission. The Secretary explained that while the Commission has no jurisdiction in the matter, he had testified against the variance because of the deleterious effect this tall building would have on the vista from the Capitol to Arlington; he told the members that the Arlington Planning Commission had subsequently denied the variance. The Chairman congratulated the Secretary on his successful testimony, and the Secretary said that he was also planning to give an informal, oral testimony at an upcoming meeting of the Arlington County Board. EXHIBIT C

II. SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS

A. Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation

1. Western Sector, Pennsylvania Avenue between 13th and 15th Streets, N.W. The Secretary introduced Mr. Lee Allen from PADC, who explained to the members that they were seeking approval for the design concept only for this area of the Avenue, which would include the plaza with the pylons, map of Washington, and the general planting scheme. In response to questions, Mr. Allen said they were not seeking approval for the flag poles near the pylons, about which they had reservations, nor for the replicas of the Capitol and White House, in their present forms. Mr. Stone wondered whether there should be trees around the perimeter of the plaza, instead of the low hedge shown. Mr. Robert Venturi, the designer, answered that there was a heavily treed area just west of the plaza, and that it was his feeling that the plaza should remain essentially part of Pennsylvania Avenue and not be separated from it by a row of large trees. Mr. Roche, while agreeing that the trees around the perimeter might frame it too much, thought that a second row of trees to the north of the plaza would help soften the area and provide shade during the hot summer months. Mr. Allen said that this would be feasible as the sidewalk at that point is 37½ feet wide. The members also asked about the water elements and the possibility of using fountains, because of their cooling effect. Mr. Roche reiterated an earlier reservation about the use of large flagpoles near the pylons, and Mr. Allen and Mr. Venturi assured the Commission that

these and other individual elements were still under study. With that assurance, the design concept for the plaza was approved.

2. Pennsylvania Avenue Jurisdictional Drawings. Mr. Allen showed the members a street map with revised traffic patterns for the western sector of Pennsylvania Avenue - the area from 13th Street to East Executive Avenue. He explained that this traffic pattern, which the members had seen previously, had now been approved by the D.C. Department of Transportation, except for the width of E Street and 13½ Street, which is being held in abeyance pending decisions on development in that area. The drawings were approved.

EXHIBIT D

B. Smithsonian Institution, National Zoological Park

North American Mammals Display. The Secretary introduced Dr. Reed from the National Zoo, who explained that this display is to be located in an area near the new bear and polar bear exhibits. There is presently a small structure on the site, used as a lecture room, which will be demolished. There will be a walk through the display area which will link the upper and lower areas of the Zoo, and the animals will be displayed in tent-like cages along the walk. The members were especially concerned that the natural contours and trees in the area be retained. Dr. Reed and the architect, Ronald Taylor, assured them that they would not be disturbed to any significant degree, and also noted that the site of the present lecture room would be relandscaped after demolition. The project was approved.

EXHIBIT E

C. Department of the Army, Office of the Adjutant General

Memorial Plaque, Arlington National Cemetery, Trophy Hall, Memorial Amphitheater. The Secretary introduced Lt. Col. Gaxon, who told the members that this plaque is to commemorate the Vietnam operation, in lieu of an inscription on the Unknown Soldiers' Tomb, as there are no unknowns from this war. He showed the proposed design for the plaque, which consisted of bas-relief faces representing members of the various services involved, an inscription, and a display case for medals below. The Chairman told Lt. Col. Gaxon and the designer, Mr. King, that the Commission would prefer a less fussy design, one which would use just the inscription and the medal display, and could not approve the design as presented. The project representatives agreed to work out another solution based on the Commission's recommendations.

EXHIBIT F

D. D.C. Department of Housing and Community Development

Transportation Plaza, Maryland Avenue between 6th & 7th

Streets, S.W. The Assistant Secretary introduced this project, which consists of a low building running east-west on the site and a tower at right angles to it on the east. It will be used for offices, and is adjacent to the L'Enfant Plaza metro stop. Mr. Stanley Sherman of the D.C. Government and representatives from Gruen Associates, the architects, discussed the project. It was noted that restrictions on lot coverage will result in a significant amount of open space around the building; this will be developed as landscaped activity and leisure areas. It was pointed out that the design had undergone some changes resulting from comments by the D.C. Government: the C Street facade had been altered and the landscaping along Maryland Avenue now includes more trees. There was some criticism by the agency of the tower, which follows the diagonal line of Maryland Avenue rather than being cut off at right angles; the architects felt strongly, however, that the diagonal line is preferable, and it has been retained. The materials used will harmonize with those in the area, and parking for the building will be essentially below street level. The project was approved, with material samples to be presented at a later date.

EXHIBIT G

Historic Subdivisions

Appendix 1, approved.

E. D.C. Department of Transportation

Pavement Markings in the Monumental Core. The Secretary told the members that he had been informally contacted and asked if the Commission would again state its position regarding white versus yellow pavement markings in the monumental areas of the city. A letter will be written reaffirming the Commission's preference for the white lines.

F. D.C. Department of Licenses, Investigations and Inspections

Old Georgetown Act

1. OG 78-122, 3252 S Street, N.W., Addition to Existing House. The Assistant Secretary reported on this project on behalf of the Georgetown Board. It has been reviewed by the Board and received a mixed reaction. It consists of an addition over a garage, an adjoining greenhouse, and a curved wood fence, painted white. Mr. George Hartman of the firm of Hartman and Cox, the architects, discussed his design. Mr. Grosvenor Chapman, representing the Citizens Association of Georgetown, felt that while it was "whimsical" and certainly not typical of Georgetown, it was not objectionable on this site. Mr. Roche thought it handsome, and the rest of the members also agreed that it should be approved.

2. OG 78-127, 1218 31st Street, N.W., Renovation and Office Addition. The Assistant Secretary reviewed this project on behalf of the Georgetown Board, explaining that it involved the renovation of an old, two-story brick structure abutting an alley just north of M Street and the construction of a new office tower on vacant land just behind the old building. He reported that the Board's reaction had been that the tower was too high for the area, as it would be surrounded principally by small, two-story houses. Mr. Roche and Mr. Stone thought the entire project unsuitable, and a site inspection following the meeting confirmed the feeling that it should not be approved.

3. OG 78-129, 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., New Apartment, Office and Garage Building - Resubmission. The Assistant Secretary reviewed this revised design on behalf of the Georgetown Board and reported that the Board thought the project much improved, but felt that the architect could go even further in reducing size. Mr. Vlastimil Koubek, the architect, explained the changes he had made and did not think his buildings would appear any larger in bulk or height than other sizeable structures on the waterfront, such as the Dodge Center and Foundry developments. The Chairman said he was reluctant to approve another large building in this area, and certainly did not think the cornice line should be any higher than that of the Foundry development to the east. The members looked at a model (not yet seen by the Georgetown Board) and the Chairman noted that even though the apartment building has a low section along 31st Street, it still does not look right next to or behind the very small houses already existing on the street. The members also felt that for such an important site a simpler silhouette would be desirable, and Mr. Roche thought that the cornice should be smoothed out, more like that on the Foundry building. Mr. Grosvenor Chapman, representing the Georgetown Citizens Association, also commented on the project, saying that he found it much too high and much too bulky. After the meeting the members inspected the site and were convinced that the project should not be approved in its present state.

4. Appendix 2, approved.

Shipstead-Luce Act

1. SL 78-51, 1508 Missouri Avenue, N.W., New Single-Family Dwelling. The Assistant Secretary showed the members drawings for this residence, which is to be erected just east of 16th Street, off Rock Creek Park. He introduced the architect, Joseph Dorsey, who discussed his design. The members, determining that it would have minimal impact on the park, had no objections and gave it their approval.

2. SL 78-60, 1957 E Street, N.W., Fourth Floor Office Addition to Existing Building. The Secretary showed drawings of this project, noting that the additional story would follow the original building in design and materials. The architect, Mr. John Samperton, offered to answer any questions; the members had none and the project was approved.

3. SL 78-61, 1615 H Street, N.W., Addition above Existing Open Courtyard. The Secretary told the members that this is an addition to Cass Gilbert's Chamber of Commerce Building and consists of enclosing an open courtyard to gain additional office space. While some members regretted the cutting off of natural light, the alteration will not substantially affect the interior and it was approved.

4. SL 78-62, 2527 Waterside Drive, N.W., Attic Renovation and Dormer Addition. The Assistant Secretary explained to the members that this house is one of a row on a street which runs between Massachusetts Avenue and Rock Creek Parkway. Two schemes were presented, both of which were contemporary in design and used large sheets of glass for the dormers. The Chairman thought that since all the houses in the row are of the Georgian type, a more traditional approach to the dormers, at least on the park side, would be preferable. The other members agreed, and the project was not approved.

5. SL 78-64, -65, -66; 6040A-B-C Oregon Avenue, N.W., Three New Single-Family Houses. The Assistant Secretary showed a site plan and perspective sketches of these houses, which will face Rock Creek Park. He introduced Ms. Imas, representing the architects, who explained to the members that the houses would be set well back from the street and noted that the entrance to the development would be unobtrusive and landscaped. She emphasized her desire to keep as many trees as possible and said that the houses had been sited with that in mind. The members agreed that the development would be well-screened from the park side, and the project was approved.

6. 1776 G Street, N.W., Material Sample (refer to SL 78-6). The Secretary showed the members a sample of a precast panel, which Mr. Roche thought looked too dark. The architect will have a mockup made which will be inspected before approval is given.

7. Appendix 3, approved.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30, and the members left for a site inspection in Georgetown.


Charles H. Atherton
Secretary

August 15, 1978

Dear Mrs. Winter:

The Commission of Fine Arts appreciated the opportunity to respond to your August 3, 1978 letter on the Historic Landmark and Historic District Protection Act of 1978. Because of your request for a line-by-line commentary on the draft bill, and the extraordinarily tight time schedule, we are enclosing a list of recommendations while continuing to offer support for any larger scale or potentially more thorough re-airing of the issues.

As you will see, we recommend additional community representation and professional input. We also recommend elimination of overlapping and duplicated reviews of alteration and new construction in the Old Georgetown Act and Shinnstead Luce Act areas. Still another area is listing or registration, and we feel that buildings or areas involved in this legislation ought to be actually listed on the D.C. list or the National Register on the pure basis of defensibility. Since a high level of experience and expertise is likely to continue on the part of the joint Committee and its Staff, we are suggesting that the "burden of proof" technique reflected in the initial draft be reexamined as possibly excessively demanding on small entrepreneurs and homeowners throughout the city.

We will be delighted to work with you as the process evolves, and hope that it can result in excellent protection for our Capital.

Sincerely,

JCB:AD

J. Carter Brown
Chairman

Chairperson Nadine Winter
Committee on Housing and Urban Development
District of Columbia Council
District Building
14th & E Sts. NW
Washington, D.C. 20004

JCB:CA:ck

LINE

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 44-50 Delete everything after "National Register of Historic Places".
(NOTE: This is the most precise and defensible technique for dealing with privately owned property.)
- 51 After word "building(s)", add "object(s) or feature(s)".
(NOTE: This is more consistent with National Register recommendations.)
- 53-54 Delete "as of effective date of this act."
(NOTE: This implies list inflexibility and cutoff dates which seem to have no advantage.)
- 55-57 Delete "or for which applications for such listing have been filed with the Joint Committee."
(NOTE: To be consistent with above.)
- 6 Add: "Joint Committee Composition shall include advisory boards as needed to assist administration of the historic districts on matters described herein. Each Board shall be composed of three well-qualified architects who through residence, principal practice, expertise, or office location will have experience in one or more of the historic districts. Board membership term and appointments processes to be formulated by Joint Committee on Landmarks in conjunction with District of Columbia Government."
(NOTE: Intent is to parallel system established by Old Georgetown Act (D.C. Code 5-801) of having representative and professionally qualified advisors. Such boards may advise on one or more historic districts, and should be qualified in the field of design review.)
- 103 Delete "and"; use "or".
(NOTE: The Commission of Fine Arts' principal concern in this legislation is avoiding duplication of design review.)
- 111 Delete "or undue economic hardship". Delete "owner"; add "District Government." Add: "The owner may submit evidence of economic hardship."
(NOTE: Adapting some of the existing "Delay in Demolition" language with respect to contrary or not contrary may be desirable.)

LINERECOMMENDATIONS

- 170 Add: "or" after "jurisdiction".
- 184 Delete: "or undue economic hardship". Delete "owner"; add "District Government". Add: "The owner may submit evidence of undue economic hardship."
- 109 Add: "or pursuant to the Old Georgetown Act (D.C. Code Sec. 5-801), or pursuant to the Shipstead-Luce Act (40 U.S.C. 111), of the Commission of Fine Arts."
- 16 Delete "or undue economic hardship". Delete "owner"; add "District Government." Add: "The owner may submit evidence of economic hardship."
- 140 Delete "and"; add "or".

July 11, 1978

Dear Senator Pell:

I am happy to reply to your letter requesting the views of the Commission of Fine Arts regarding the designs for the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial and S.J. Res. 143 which would authorize its construction.

At its meeting on March 2, 1978, the Commission of Fine Arts approved plans for the design, including the landscaping, preliminary plans for the Visitor Center and a scheme for the incorporation of several elements of sculpture. The Commission requested that final plans for the details of the Center, and larger and more precise study models of the sculpture be submitted to the Commission for further review as they are developed. This is a normal procedure for any project as complex as the F.D.R. Memorial, and we see no reason why these additional steps in the review process should hold up consideration of legislation that would allow the development of the Memorial to proceed.

After many submissions of different concepts for the design of an appropriate memorial to Franklin Delano Roosevelt, the Commission believes that the present solution finally meets all of the objectives we felt were necessary to achieve. It has a sense of dignity and repose that is entirely fitting for its setting near the other memorials. It respects in every sense the landscape of the park, its trees, and the walkways and views of the Tidal Basin. In fact its pools and fountains should do a great deal to enhance the quality of the site, and at the same time lend a feeling of uniqueness that will set it apart from the other memorials, one of the most difficult features that has eluded all other design efforts up till now. If the sculptural elements achieve the same sophistication as the landscape design, and we have every reason to believe they will, the finished memorial should satisfy every objective of the original program.

Senator Pell

page 2

July '1, 1978

If you have additional questions you would like to ask or feel that we should attend the hearings on July 6, please let us know. We will be most happy to be there.

With all best regards,

Sincerely yours,

SIGNED

J. Carter Brown
Chairman

The Honorable
Claiborne Pell
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

JCB:CAA:ck

By hand 7/21

HOWARD W. CANNON, NEV., CHAIRMAN
CLAIBORNE PELL, R.I.
ROBERT C. BYRD, W. VA.
JAMES B. ALLEN, ALA.
ARRISON A. WILLIAMS, JR., N.J.
CLARK CLARK, IOWA

MARK O. HATFIELD, OREG.
ROBERT P. GRIFFIN, MICH.
HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.

WILLIAM MC WHORTER COCHRANE, STAFF DIRECTOR
CHESTER H. SMITH, CHIEF COUNSEL
LARRY E. SMITH, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON
RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

July 17, 1978

Honorable J. Carter Brown
Chairman, Commission of Fine Arts
708 Jackson Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Brown:

A hearing on S.J.Res. 142 which would give the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial Commission authority to build a memorial has been scheduled by the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration for 10 a.m., Wednesday, July 26, 1978.

Since the Fine Arts Commission has final approval authority over any plans and designs concerning such a memorial the Rules Committee would be most appreciative of having the views and reactions of the Fine Arts Commission to such memorial plans and designs.

Since the hearing is scheduled for next week it would also be appreciated if this letter could be responded to as quickly as possible.

With every good wish,

Sincerely,



Claiborne Pell
Chairman

RECEIVED
THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
WASHINGTON, D. C.

NOTED

July 31, 1978

Dear Senator Pell:

I am happy to reply to your letter requesting the views of the Commission of Fine Arts regarding the designs for the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial and S.J. Res. 147 which would authorize its construction.

At its meeting on March 2, 1978, the Commission of Fine Arts approved plans for the design, including the landscaping, preliminary plans for the Visitor Center and a scheme for the incorporation of several elements of sculpture. The Commission requested that final plans for the details of the Center, and larger and more precise study models of the sculpture be submitted to the Commission for further review as they are developed. This is a normal procedure for any project as complex as the F.D.R. Memorial, and we see no reason why these additional steps in the review process should hold up consideration of legislation that would allow the development of the Memorial to proceed.

After many submissions of different concepts for the design of an appropriate memorial to Franklin Delano Roosevelt, the Commission believes that the present solution finally meets all of the objectives we felt were necessary to achieve. It has a sense of dignity and repose that is entirely fitting for its setting near the other memorials. It respects in every sense the landscape of the park, its trees, and the walkways and views of the Tidal Basin. In fact its pools and fountains should do a great deal to enhance the quality of the site, and at the same time lend a feeling of uniqueness that will set it apart from the other memorials, one of the most difficult features that has eluded all other design efforts up till now. If the sculptural elements achieve the same sophistication as the landscape design, and we have every reason to believe they will, the finished memorial should satisfy every objective of the original program.

Senator Pell

page 2

July 21, 1978

If you have additional questions you would like to ask or feel that we should attend the hearings on July 26, please let us know. We will be most happy to be there.

With all best regards,

Sincerely yours,

SIGNED

J. Carter Brown
Chairman

The Honorable
Claiborne Pell
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

JCB:CAA:ck

By hand 7/21

Dear Senator Pell,

I am happy to reply to your letter requesting the views of the Commission of Fine Arts regarding the designs for the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial and S.J. ^{Res.} 142 which would authorize its construction.

At its meeting on _____, the Commission of Fine Arts approved plans for the design, including the landscaping, preliminary plans for the Visitor Center and a scheme for the incorporation of several elements of sculpture. The Commission requested that final plans for the details of the Center, and larger and more precise study models of the sculpture be submitted to the Commission for further review as they are developed. This is a normal procedure for any project as complex as the F. D. R. Memorial, and we see no reason why these additional steps in the review process should hold up consideration of legislation that would allow the development of the Memorial to proceed.

^{submissions of different concepts for the}
After many years of searching for the design of an appropriate memorial to Franklin Delano Roosevelt, the Commission believes that the present solution ^{it all} meets all of the objectives we felt were necessary to achieve. It has a sense of dignity and repose that is entirely fitting for its setting near the other memorials. It respects in every sense the landscape of the park, its trees, and the walkways and views of the Tidal Basin. In fact its pools and fountains should do a great deal to enhance the quality of the site, and at the same time lend a feeling of uniqueness that will set it apart from the other memorials, one of the ^{most} difficult features that has illuded all other design efforts up till now. If the sculptural elements achieve the same sophistication as the landscape design, and we have every reason to believe they will, the finished memorial should satisfy every objective of the original program.

If you have additional questions you would like to ask or feel that
we should attend the hearings on July 26, please let us know. We will
be most happy to be there.

With all best regards.

Sincerely yours,

J. Carter Brown

Statement to the Arlington County Planning Commission

My name is Charles Atherton. I am the Secretary of the Commission of Fine Arts, an agency concerned with protecting the beauty of Washington, and familiar to some of you I know. I'm sure you also know that the Commission has no jurisdiction beyond the parklands and Arlington Cemetery on this side of the river, so I appreciate especially your letting me personally comment on the proposal now before you.

The current limit to the building height was arrived at a number of years ago to specifically protect the natural skyline west of Washington's monumental central axis. The tree-lined ridge of Arlington has provided for many years a beautiful setting for the views of our great national memorials. Even today, as one stands on the west terrace of the Capitol or the Mall below it, the vista is still virtually intact, largely because the one building that has regrettably extended above the ridge, namely Prospect House, is almost precisely on the central axis itself and thus cannot be seen east of the Washington Monument. West of the Monument, unfortunately, the silhouette of Prospect House is more apparent. While its mass is not overwhelming, it nevertheless spoils the view.

The added height to the building you are considering today will further mar the skyline - and for the first time a building will be in view immediately next to the Washington Monument, since the site of the new structure lies far enough off the axis to make the building visible from the Mall and the Capitol.

Now
This new shape on the skyline [^]seems/ relatively minor in comparison to all the changing silhouettes around the city. I can only say that this one spot is very special, the center of a view held by the many thousands of visitors to the Capital as part of the unique beauty of Washington.

I hope the Arlington County Planning Commission and the Board will hold to the present height limits and allow no further encroachment on the historic Arlington ridge. While the view of the city of Washington from this site is indeed superb and would be enjoyed by the occupants of the new condominiums, I would hope that the public interest in preserving the great vista from the monumental core of our Capital will be given preference over the personal benefits of a very few individuals.

BJL
7-19-78

THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS MAY 17, 1910

J. CARTER BROWN, Chairman

PHILIP W. BUCHEN

FREDERICK D. NICHOLS

VICTORINE DU PONT HOMSEY

KEVIN ROCHE

ELI S. JACOBS

EDWARD D. STONE, JR.

CHARLES H. ATHERTON, Secretary

708 JACKSON PLACE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
202-566-1066

9 August, 1978

Dear Mr. Barnes:

I am happy to report the Commission of Fine Arts' favorable reaction and approval, at its meeting on July 25, 1978, to the concept of the Western Plaza, the traffic plan in its vicinity, and the diagram of jurisdictions within the PADC area. Our enthusiasm for the ground plan of the plaza, its use of grass, trees, water, and the recall of the L'Enfant Plan, is tempered by our hopes for a cautious, careful design process on all vertical elements. We appreciate the fact that the PADC and its consultants agree that these elements must be studied in greater detail.

As you recall, our discussion during the Commission meeting on July 25 indicated concerns for greenery and shade within the plaza area. Recognizing that the Pennsylvania Avenue vista must remain open and uninterrupted by mature tree growth, we would urge that the perimeter of the square, including the sidewalk area in front of the District Building and the National Theater, be planted with at least two rows of trees to provide some shade as a frame for the plaza itself and to enhance the contrast of the paved plaza and vista to the tree-lined diagonal of the Avenue and the park on Square 226 to the west. Since it was indicated that this could probably be incorporated in final development, we would certainly urge that it be considered a strong part of the overall concept.

Sincerely yours,

J. Carter Brown
Chairman

Mr. W. Anderson Barnes
Executive Director
Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation
Suite 1148, 425 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS MAY 17, 1910

J. CARTER BROWN, Chairman

PHILIP W. BUCHEN

FREDERICK D. NICHOLS

VICTORINE DU PONT HOMSEY

KEVIN ROCHE

ELI S. JACOBS

EDWARD D. STONE, JR.

CHARLES H. ATHERTON, Secretary

708 JACKSON PLACE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
202-366-1066

9 August, 1978

Dear Ted,

In approving the designs for the North American Mammals display at the National Zoo during its July 25, 1978 meeting, the Commission of Fine Arts was delighted with the light and unobtrusive quality of the proposed cage structures. Our chief concern remains keeping the maximum number of mature trees on the site during and after the construction process. We understand that this is included in the concept of the project, but recommend extra care in protecting the existing tree cover. The architect indicated that the design had some flexibility to allow for existing site conditions. Your precaution of having careful professional supervision of the construction process should complement that.

Sincerely yours,

J. Carter Brown
ChairmanDr. Theodore H. Reed
Director
National Zoological Park
Washington, D.C. 20009

THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS MAY 17, 1910

J. CARTER BROWN, Chairman

PHILIP W. BUCHEN

FREDERICK D. NICHOLS

VICTORINE DU PONT HOMSEY

KEVIN ROCHE

ELI S. JACOBS

EDWARD D. STONE, JR.

CHARLES H. ATHERTON, Secretary

9 August, 1978

708 JACKSON PLACE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
202-566-1066

Dear Col. Clarke:

The Commission of Fine Arts reviewed and discussed with your representatives proposed designs for a memorial plaque to the members of the American services involved in the Vietnam Conflict. The Commission approves the concept and proposed inscription as fitting and appropriately placed in the Trophy Hall at Arlington National Cemetery. The Commission unanimously feels that a purely graphic design, including no more than the inscription, would be far more appropriate a tribute than a complicated design including literal depiction of figures.

The Commission will be happy to look at revised designs and assist in the project in any way, since we realize you are working under limited time constraints.

Sincerely yours,

J. Carter Brown
Chairman

Col. Ellsworth S. Clarke
Chief, Memorial Affairs Division
Office of the Adjutant General
and the Adjutant General Center
Department of the Army
Washington, D.C. 20314

THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS MAY 17, 1910

J. CARTER BROWN, Chairman

PHILIP W. BUCHEN

FREDERICK D. NICHOLS

VICTORINE DU PONT HOMSEY

KEVIN ROCHE

ELI S. JACOBS

EDWARD D. STONE, JR.

CHARLES H. ATHERTON, Secretary

9 August, 1978

708 JACKSON PLACE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
202-566-1066

Dear Ms. Cappon:

The Commission of Fine Arts reviewed and approved designs for Transportation Plaza, on Maryland Avenue between 6th and 7th Streets, S.W., during its meeting on July 25, 1978. The simplicity of this structure, and its relationship to the subway and adjacent mixed-use development, should be a design asset to the immediate area. This carries with it, however, an obligation to have a well-done ground and landscaping plan serving and interconnecting existing and planned facilities. We certainly encourage you to increase the tree planting and ground landscaping, particularly on the northern side of the project.

Sincerely yours,

J. Carter Brown
Chairman

Ms. Joyce A. Cappon
SRA Acting Chief
Commercial Branch
Department of Housing
and Community Development
1325 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

CHARLES C. DIGGS, JR., MICH., CHAIRMAN

D V. DELLUMS, CALIF.

ER E. FAUNTROY,

EGATE, DISTRICT OF

UMBIA

R. MANN, S.C.

NO L. MAZZOLI, KY.

RT E. HARRIS II, VA.

ANIEL, VA.

S. MEYNER, N.J.

EY H. (PETE) STARK, CALIF.

ES ROSE, N.C.

AN E. D'AMOURS, N.H.

SENHOOVER, OKLA.

AS APPLIGATE, OHIO

SS COLLINS, ILL.

STEWART B. MC KINNEY, CONN.

ROBERT W. DANIEL, JR., VA.

CHARLES W. WHALEN, JR., OHIO

E. THOMAS COLEMAN, MO.

MARC L. MARKS, PA.

NEWTON I. STEERS, JR., MD.

U.S. House of Representatives

Committee on the District of Columbia

ESTABLISHED 27 JANUARY 1808

Room 1310, Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

EDWARD C. SYLVESTER, JR., STAFF DIRECTOR
BARBARA C. WASHINGTON, MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: 225-4457

June 28, 1978

RECEIVED
THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
WASHINGTON, D. C.

Mr. Charles Atherton
Secretary
Commission of Fine Arts
708 Jackson Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

NOTED

Dear Mr. Atherton:

As per our conversation of June 27, 1978, find enclosed a copy of H.R. 13117 -- Georgetown Waterfront -- Mr. Stark of California. The bill has been referred to the Subcommittee on Economic Development and Regional Affairs, chaired by Herbert E. Harris II of Virginia. Staff contacts are Ms. Harriet Hunt (225-5065) for Stark and Mr. Hugh Calkin (225-1615) for Chairman Harris.

report requested 7-24-78 JH

Other members of the Subcommittee are Mr. Fauntroy of the District of Columbia, Mr. Dan Daniel of Virginia, Mrs. Meyner of New Jersey, Mr. Rose of North Carolina, Mr. D'Amours of New Hampshire, Mr. Stark of California, Mr. Whalen of Ohio, Mr. McKinney of Connecticut (Ranking Minority Member of the full Committee), and Mr. Steers of Maryland.

If I can be of further assistance please let me know.

Also, should you locate any manageable information on earlier attempts to exercise aesthetic control over outdoor advertising, the trash can and Metro experiences, I would appreciate hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Nelson Rimensnyder

Nelson Rimensnyder
Director of Research

NR:dmh
enclosure

95TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 13117

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 13, 1978

MR. STARK introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee
on the District of Columbia

A BILL

To acquire certain lands so as to assure the preservation and
protection of the Potomac River shoreline.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*
3 That (a) effective on the date of the enactment of this Act,
4 there is hereby vested in the United States all right, title, and
5 interest in, and the right to immediate possession of, all of the
6 following described lands and improvements comprising that
7 area within the District of Columbia which is generally
8 bounded on the north by the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal, on
9 the east by Rock Creek, on the south by the Potomac River,
10 and on the west by a line four hundred feet west of Key
11 Bridge.

1 (b) Such area shall be administered by the Secretary of
2 the Interior in a manner so as to assure the preservation and
3 protection of the Potomac River shoreline and for recrea-
4 tional and other compatible purposes. The Secretary shall
5 promulgate such regulations as may be necessary to carry
6 out the provisions of this Act.

7 (c) Any action against the United States for the recov-
8 ery of just compensation for the lands and improvements
9 herein taken by the United States shall be brought in the
10 United States district court for the district where the land is
11 located without regard to the amount claimed. Just com-
12 pensation shall be determined as of March 1, 1978. The
13 United States may initiate proceedings at any time seeking
14 a determination of just compensation in the district court in
15 the manner provided by sections 1358 and 1403 of title 28,
16 United States Code, and may deposit in the registry of the
17 court the estimated just compensation, or a part thereof, in
18 accordance with the procedure generally described by sec-
19 tion 258a of title 40, United States Code. Interest shall not
20 be allowed on such amounts as shall have been paid into the
21 court. In the event that the Secretary determines that the
22 fee simple title to any property (real or personal) taken
23 under this section is not necessary for the purposes of this
24 Act, he may revest title to such property subject to such
25 reservations, terms, and conditions, if any, as he deems

1 appropriate to carry out the purposes of this Act, and may
2 compensate the former owner for no more than the fair
3 market value of the rights so reserved, except that the Secre-
4 tary may not revest title to any property for which just
5 compensation has been paid.

6 SEC. 2. There are authorized to be appropriated such
7 sums as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this
8 Act.

95TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 13117

A BILL

To acquire certain lands so as to assure the preservation and protection of the Potomac River shoreline.

By Mr. STARR

JUNE 13, 1978

Referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia

July 20, 1978

Memo for the Record

From: Donald B. Myer, Assistant Secretary

Subject: OG 78-128, 3220 Reservoir Road, N.W.

On the 19 of July Ms. Anne Vytlačil, Mr. Wynant Vanderpool and I met with Mr. Sam Dunn at 3230 Reservoir Road, N.W. to inspect the existing house, its landscape features. The Board members noted that the exterior of the house was illkempt, but in structurally sound condition. The interior was under renovation and had little of value or architectural distinction. The unusual layout of the house would make it quite difficult for a family occupancy as intended by the new owners, the family of Mr. Frank Loy. The renovations were intended to move the kitchen to the former living room, and to take several small rooms on the ground floor with a small addition to make a new living room facing the rear.

The Board discussed plans for changing several windows on the north facade of the house, the addition of an entry vestibule, and construction of a second floor studio over the garage with Mr. Dunn. Though the Board had no objection to the concept of any of the proposed changes, they indicated that the relationships being proposed would be best reviewed with a model and additional detailed drawings. Mr. Dunn agreed that these would be submitted throughout the month of August, hoping that the project would be on the Commission of Fine Arts agenda for August 22, 1978.

The Board also familiarized themselves with the site, should they be asked to review specific proposals for new construction there. They observed that the house had considerable overgrown property with a grade drop to the west and the south. Ms. Vytlačil and I went to see the visibility of the site from Wisconsin Avenue, finding good views of the house and site between several buildings fronting on Wisconsin. I would note the potential of several retaining walls being visible from Wisconsin, just to give access by drive or road to the south end of the property. Mr. Vanderpool observed that building something the size of a tennis court with fence and lights on the steep site may present unimagined visibility potential.

J.T. 7

MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
22 August, 1978

AM

10:00 CONVENE, 708 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C.

ADMINISTRATION

- A. Dates of next meetings: 26 September, 1978
24 October, 1978
- B. Minutes of July 1978 meeting.
- C. D.C. Bill 2-367, "The Historic Landmark and Historic District Protection Act of 1978" - Report.
- D. Building Height Variance Request, 1401 North Oak Street, Arlington, Virginia - Report of Action of Arlington County Board.
- E. Muhlenberg Memorial Sculpture, Confirmation Photographs/ Inspection.
- F. Board of Architectural Consultants for the Old Georgetown Act - Interim Appointment.
- G. Commission of Fine Arts Personnel Announcements.

SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS

A. General Services Administration

University of the District of Columbia, Mount Vernon Campus - Master Plan.

B. Smithsonian Institution, National Zoological Park

- 1. Central Area Development.
- 2. Gibbon Island.

C. Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Fuel Oil Storage Tanks, Building 73, Anacostia Naval Station.

D. Department of the Treasury, Bureau of the Mint

Susan B. Anthony Dollar, Obverse Design.

22 August, 1978

E. D.C. Department of Licenses, Investigations and Inspections

Old Georgetown Act

1. OG 78-127, 1218 31st Street, N.W., Office Addition - Resubmission.

2. OG 78-128, 3230 Reservoir Road, N.W., Additions and Alterations.

3. OG 78-129, 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., New Office/Apartment/Garage Building - Resubmission.

4. OG 78-134, 1024 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Demolition.

5. OG 78-140, 1220-36 31st Street, N.W., Hamilton Arms - Rebuild Six Buildings, Demolish Two Buildings and Shed, Build Two New Buildings.

6. Appendix 1.

Shipstead-Luce Act

1. SL 78-62, 2527 Waterside Drive, N.W., Attic Renovation and Addition of Dormer - Resubmission.

2. SL 78-75, 600 Water Street, S.W., Control Tower.

3. SL 78-79, 303 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., Facade Renovations.

4. Appendix 2.

F. D.C. Department of Transportation

Proposed Docking of U.S.S. Williamsburg, Georgetown Waterfront.

22 August, 1978

<u>NO.</u>	<u>ADDRESS AND OWNER</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>
OG 78-122	3252 S Street, N.W. Judy & David Bonderman	Addition of one room; renovation of existing house.
ACTION:	No objection to proposed design.	Recommend submission of working drawings, details, and material samples as developed. 8/3/78
124	1217 33rd Street, N.W. Jeremiah de Michaelis	Removal of cement slab stoop; replacement with brick and new stairway.
ACTION:	Issue permit.	Recommended for new basement entrance, window, and railing to match example at 1211 33rd Street, N.W., as matching photographs submitted with application. 8/3/78
129	1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Premier Charter Corporation	Construct new office, apartment, and garage building (resubmission refer to OG 78-118).
ACTION:	Do not issue permit.	Recommend further reduction in height with overall massing to approximately 60 feet in height from curbline to provide apparent cornice height of 40 to 50 feet in height with additional story or stories set back in a uniform manner, similar to methods employed in Foundry Building across Thoms Jefferson Street. 8/14/78
130	1532 32nd Street, N.W. Allyn Ross	Interior renovation plus three-story addition, three feet deep, to existing residence.
ACTION:	Issue permit. 8/17/78	
132	3214 Prospect Street, N.W. King & Sandy	Raze existing building (resubmission refer to OG 78-16).
ACTION:	Issue permit.	Recommend screen wall or planting to conceal any parking which may temporarily be the use of this property. 8/17/78
133	3001 Cambridge Place, N.W. Estill's Eden Place	Provide new steps and areaway for new basement entry.
ACTION:	Issue permit. 8/17/78	
135	3259 R Street, N.W. Robert Charles	Rebuild garage that fell down.
ACTION:	Issue permit.	Suggest a thinner fascia and gravel stop if possible. 8/17/78

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON THE OLD GEORGETOWN ACT

22 August, 1978

PROJECT

ADDRESS AND OWNER

NO.

OG 78-
136

3044 Cambridge Place, N.W.

Kurt Stromberg

ACTION: Issue permit. 8/17/78

Relocate existing areaway steps within existing areaway.

137

1070 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.

Western Development Corporation

ACTION: Issue permit.

Metal sign attached to outside wall with lag bolts.

128

3222 M Street, N.W.

Western Development Corporation

ACTION: Issue permit for sign to be located in spandrel area between first and second floors and centered over double windows. 8/17/78

Metal sign attached to outside wall with lag bolts.

139

3248 M Street, N.W.

Western Development Corporation

ACTION: Issue permit.

Metal sign attached to outside wall with lag bolts.

142

3255 Grace Street, N.W.

Western Development Corporation

ACTION: No objection. 8/17/78

New signs as described on attached application.

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON THE SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT

22 August, 1978

NO.	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
SL 78-51	1508 Missouri Avenue, N.W. Arthur Redman	New single family dwelling.
ACTION:	Issue permit. 8/3/78	
59	444 North Capitol Street, N.W. Home Federal Savings & Loan Association	Single-faced sign, 20" x 15': "Home Federal Savings".
ACTION:	Issue permit for sign. Recommend that sign match those existing on the building as to size box, materials, etc. 8/11/78	
60	1957 E Street, N.W. Associated General Contractors	Fourth floor office addition to existing building.
ACTION:	Issue permit. 8/3/78	
61	1615 H Street, N.W. Chamber of Commerce of the U.S.A.	Addition above existing open courtyard, roof to align with existing roof at rear.
ACTION:	Issue permit. 8/3/78	
63	728 17th Street, N.W. McDonald's	Sign.
ACTION:	Issue permit for modified sign with no red border and opaque-faced white letters on bronze background, silhouette lighting only. 8/8/78	
64	6040-A Oregon Avenue, N.W.	New single-family dwelling.
65	6040-B Oregon Avenue, N.W.	New single-family dwelling.
66	6040-C Oregon Avenue, N.W.	New single-family dwelling.
ACTION:	Issue permit. Request submission of details of fences, gates, walls, and other landscape features adjoining public space facing Rock Creek Park. 8/3/78	
68	1001 D Street, N.W. Irving Fogel	Front sign (resubmission refer to SL 78-55).
ACTION:	Issue permit. Colors A, B, D, or E are acceptable. 8/10/78	
69	2700 Military Road, N.W. John W. Ward, Jr.	Enclose existing porch (resubmission refer to SL 78-54).
ACTION:	Issue permit. 8/18/78	

22 August, 1978

<u>NO.</u>	<u>ADDRESS AND OWNER</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>
SL 78-71	400 North Capitol Street, N.W. M.E.B.A. c/o Volpe Construction ACTION: Issue permit. 8/18/78	Remove marble and install glass on south court facade and a pair of doors.
73	409 1st Street, S.E. First Street S.E., Inc. ACTION: Issue permit. Recommend that second floor windows facing rear be realigned and same size to provide symmetry. 8/18/78	Repair and alter existing; add two rooms to rear.
74	411 1st Street, S.E. First Street S.E., Inc. ACTION: Issue permit. Recommend that second floor windows facing rear be realigned and same size to provide symmetry. 8/18/78	Repair and alter existing; add two rooms to rear.
76	411 4th Street, S.W. Transportation Federal Credit Union ACTION: Issue permit. 8/18/78	Install cast aluminum signs on side of existing building.
78	7100 Alaska Avenue, N.W. Louis E. Fry, Jr. ACTION: Issue permit. 8/18/78	Construct a two-story high foyer addition to an existing two-story single-family dwelling.

